

United States Patent and Trademark Office



UNITED STATES DEPARTMENT OF COMMERCE United States Patent and Trademark Office Address: COMMISSIONER FOR PATENTS P.O. Box 1450 Alexandria, Vriginia 22313-1450 www.uspto.gov

| APPLICATION NO. | FILING DATE | FIRST NAMED INVENTOR | ATTORNEY DOCKET NO. | CONFIRMATION NO. | |
|--------------------|----------------|----------------------|-------------------------|-------------------------|--|
| 10/086,588 | 02/28/2002 | Robert L. Dow | PC11812AAKM | 9012 | |
| 7. | 590 01/13/2005 | | EXAM | EXAMINER | |
| Gregg C. Benson | | | COLEMAN, BRENDA LIBBY | | |
| Pfizer Inc. | | | | | |
| Patent Departm | ent, MS 4159 | | ART UNIT | PAPER NUMBER | |
| Eastern Point Road | | | 1624 | | |
| Groton, CT 0 | 6340 | | DATE MAILED: 01/13/200: | DATE MAILED: 01/13/2005 | |

Please find below and/or attached an Office communication concerning this application or proceeding.

| | Application No. | Applicant(s) | | | | | |
|--|--|--|---------|--|--|--|--|
| Advisory Action | 10/086,588 | DOW ET AL. | | | | | |
| navioury notion | Examiner | Art Unit | | | | | |
| | Brenda Coleman | 1624 | | | | | |
| The MAILING DATE of this communication appears on the cover sheet with the correspondence address | | | | | | | |
| THE REPLY FILED 20 December 2004 FAILS TO PLACE THIS APPLICATION IN CONDITION FOR ALLOWANCE. Therefore, further action by the applicant is required to avoid abandonment of this application. A proper reply to a final rejection under 37 CFR 1.113 may only be either: (1) a timely filed amendment which places the application in condition for allowance; (2) a timely filed Notice of Appeal (with appeal fee); or (3) a timely filed Request for Continued Examination (RCE) in compliance with 37 CFR 1.114. | | | | | | | |
| PERIOD FOR REPLY [check either a) or b)] | | | | | | | |
| a) The period for reply expiresmonths from the mailing date of the final rejection. b) The period for reply expires on: (1) the mailing date of this Advisory Action, or (2) the date set forth in the final rejection, whichever is later. In no event, however, will the statutory period for reply expire later than SIX MONTHS from the mailing date of the final rejection. ONLY CHECK THIS BOX WHEN THE FIRST REPLY WAS FILED WITHIN TWO MONTHS OF THE FINAL REJECTION. See MPEP 706.07(f). Extensions of time may be obtained under 37 CFR 1.136(a). The date on which the petition under 37 CFR 1.136(a) and the appropriate extension fee have been filed is the date for purposes of determining the period of extension and the corresponding amount of the fee. The appropriate extension fee under 37 CFR 1.17(a) is calculated from: (1) the expiration date of the shortened statutory period for reply originally set in the final Office action; or (2) as set forth in (b) above, if checked. Any reply received by the Office later than three months after the mailing date of the final rejection, even if timely filed, may reduce any earned patent term adjustment. See 37 CFR 1.704(b). | | | | | | | |
| 1. A Notice of Appeal was filed on Appellant's Brief must be filed within the period set forth in 37 CFR 1.192(a), or any extension thereof (37 CFR 1.191(d)), to avoid dismissal of the appeal. | | | | | | | |
| 2. The proposed amendment(s) will not be entered because: | | | | | | | |
| (a) ☐ they raise new issues that would require further consideration and/or search (see NOTE below); | | | | | | | |
| (b) they raise the issue of new matter (see Note below); | | | | | | | |
| (c) they are not deemed to place the application in better form for appeal by materially reducing or simplifying the issues for appeal; and/or | | | | | | | |
| (d) they present additional claims without canceling a corresponding number of finally rejected claims. | | | | | | | |
| NOTE: | | | | | | | |
| $3. \boxtimes$ Applicant's reply has overcome the following reject | tion(s): <u>112-1st paragraph</u> . | | | | | | |
| 4. Newly proposed or amended claim(s) would be allowable if submitted in a separate, timely filed amendment canceling the non-allowable claim(s). | | | | | | | |
| 5. The a) affidavit, b) exhibit, or c) request for reconsideration has been considered but does NOT place the application in condition for allowance because: | | | | | | | |
| 6. The affidavit or exhibit will NOT be considered becaraised by the Examiner in the final rejection. | ause it is not directed SOLELY t | o issues which were | e newly | | | | |
| .⊠ For purposes of Appeal, the proposed amendment(s) a) will not be entered or b) will be entered and an explanation of how the new or amended claims would be rejected is provided below or appended. | | | | | | | |
| The status of the claim(s) is (or will be) as follows: | | | | | | | |
| Claim(s) allowed: <u>1-37,41-44,57 and 58</u> . | | | | | | | |
| Claim(s) objected to: 40 and 53. | | | | | | | |
| Claim(s) rejected: <u>38,39,49 and 50</u> . | | | | | | | |
| Claim(s) withdrawn from consideration: | | | | | | | |
| B. ☐ The drawing correction filed on is a) ☐ approved or b) ☐ disapproved by the Examiner. | | | | | | | |
| 9. Note the attached Information Disclosure Statement(s)(PTO-1449) Paper No(s) | | | | | | | |
| 10. Other: | | | | | | | |
| | | | | | | | |
| | | Brenda Coleman Primary Examiner Art Unit: 1624 | | | | | |

ADVISORY ACTION

Claims 1-44, 49, 50, 53, 57 and 58 are pending in the application.

The shortened statutory period for reply expires THREE MONTHS from the mailing date of the final rejection or as of the mailing date of this advisory action, whichever is later. In no event, however, will the statutory period for reply expire later than SIX MONTHS from the mailing date of the final rejection.

Any extension fee required pursuant to 37 CFR 1.17 will be calculated from the date that the shortened statutory period for reply expires as set forth above.

The amendment filed December 20, 2004 under 37 CFR 1.116 in reply to the final rejection has been entered, but is not deemed to place the application in condition for allowance. For purposes of appeal, the status of the claims is as follows:

Allowed claim(s): 1-37, 41-44, 57 and 58

Rejected claim(s): 38, 39, 49 and 50

Claim(s) objected to: 40 and 53

This action is in response to applicant's amendment dated December 20, 2004. Claims 1-35, 38, 39 and 41-44 have been amended.

Response to Arguments

Applicant's arguments filed December 20, 2004 have been fully considered with the following effect:

1. The applicants' amendments and arguments are sufficient to overcome the 35 U.S.C. § 112, first paragraph rejection of claims 1-35, 38-44, 49, 50, 53, 57 and 58, labeled paragraph 1, maintained in the last office, which is hereby **withdrawn**.

Application/Control Number: 10/086,588

Art Unit: 1624

2. With regards to the 35 USC § 112, second paragraph rejection labeled paragraph 2, maintained in the last office action, the applicants' arguments have been fully considered but are not found persuasive. The applicants' stated that uses of compounds that inhibit activities at the β_3 adrenergic receptor are well known in the art. While β_3 has been associated with obesity the indefiniteness of this term is such that it is not known what β_3 adrenergic receptor diseases, conditions or disorders are embraced by the term β_3 adrenergic receptor.

Page 3

Claims 38, 39, 49 and 50 are rejected under 35 U.S.C. 112, second paragraph, as being indefinite for failing to particularly point out and distinctly claim the subject matter which applicant regards as the invention, for reasons of record and stated above.

Claim Objections

3. Claims 40 and 53 are objected to as being dependent upon a rejected base claim, but would be allowable if rewritten in independent form including all of the limitations of the base claim and any intervening claims.

Allowable Subject Matter

4. Claims 1-37, 41-44, 57 and 58 are allowed. None of the prior art of record or a search in the pertinent art area teaches the compounds, compositions, process of preparing and method of use of the compounds of formula (I) as claimed herein.

Application/Control Number: 10/086,588 Page 4

Art Unit: 1624

Any inquiry concerning this communication or earlier communications from the examiner should be directed to Brenda Coleman whose telephone number is 571-272-0665. The examiner can normally be reached on 9:30-6:00.

If attempts to reach the examiner by telephone are unsuccessful, the examiner's supervisor, James O. Wilson can be reached on 571-272-0661. The fax phone number for the organization where this application or proceeding is assigned is 703-872-9306.

Information regarding the status of an application may be obtained from the Patent Application Information Retrieval (PAIR) system. Status information for published applications may be obtained from either Private PAIR or Public PAIR. Status information for unpublished applications is available through Private PAIR only. For more information about the PAIR system, see http://pair-direct.uspto.gov. Should you have questions on access to the Private PAIR system, contact the Electronic Business Center (EBC) at 866-217-9197 (toll-free).

Brenda Coleman

Primary Examiner Art Unit 1624

January 11, 2005